

0040-4039(93)E0221-5

Preparation of Optically Active Cyclohexanediols and (+)-α-Hydroxycycloheptanone by an Enzyme Catalysed Stereoinversion/Oxidation Process

Andrew J. Carnell^{*a}, Gilles Iacazio^a, Stanley M. Roberts^a and Andrew J. Willetts^b

a. Department of Chemistry, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter EX4 4QD.

b. Department of Biological Sciences, University of Exeter, Prince of Wales Road, Exeter EX4 4QG.

Abstract: (\pm) -trans and cis Cyclohexane-1,2-diols have been shown to undergo a double stereoinversion process to give trans (S,S)-cyclohexane-1,2-diols on incubition with the fungus C. cassiicola.

Optically active diols which possess a C₂-axis of symmetry are compounds which can serve as chiral starting materials or as chiral auxiliaries in asymmetric synthesis.¹ For example, enantiomerically pure *trans* cyclohexane-1,2-diol 1² has been employed in asymmetric alkylations,³ conjugate additions to α , β -unsaturated esters⁴ and for the preparation of chiral phosphines⁵ and crown ethers.⁶

In connection with our work on the enzyme catalysed hydrolysis of cyclohexene epoxide with various fungi we made the unexpected observation that the microorganism *Corynosporium cassiicola* was able to interconvert the 1(R), 2(R) and 1(S), 2(S) enantiomers of the product, *trans* cyclohexane-1,2-diol. As the reaction proceeded the 1(R), 2(R) enantiomer was converted to the 1(S), 2(S) enantiomer (Figure 1). To our knowledge the only other interconversion of this type was reported by Hasegawa and coworkers. They documented a microbial stereo-inversion, catalysed by two dehydrogenase enzymes in the fungus *Candida parapsilosis*, in which terminal (R) -1,2-diols can be converted into (S)-1,2-diols in high molar yields.⁷



Fig. 1.

From our initial result we became interested in the possibility of obtaining optically active cyclic 1,2-diols by a microbial double stereoinversion process which would allow access to a single enantiomer of a *trans* cyclo-

alkanediol from its antipode or racemate obviating the need to discard an unwanted enantiomer. Incubation of the (\pm) -trans diol 1 or meso cis diol 2 with whole cells of Corynosporium cassiicola over 5 days gave optically pure (>99% e.e.)⁸ (+)-1(S), 2(S)-1 in 50% and 41% yield respectively (Figure 2). In a control experiment, incubation of the 1(R), 2(R)-diol 1 over 4 days gave the meso diol 2 (26%) and the 1(R), 2(R)-trans diol 1 (27%, 48% e.e.) while incubation of the 1(S), 2(S)-diol 1 over the same time period showed no loss of optical purity.



Fig. 2. Some biotransformations catalysed by C. cassiicola

It must be assumed from these biotransformations that two or more dehydrogenase enzymes (DH-1 and DH-2) in this microorganism catalyse the irreversible formation of the 1(S), 2(S) isomer of the diol 1 via tandem oxidation-reduction reactions (Scheme 1). Interestingly, cis cycloheptane-1,2-diol 3⁹ gave the (+)-(S)- α -hydroxyketone 4¹⁰ (50%, 83% e.e.). The absolute configuration of compound 4 was determined by comparison of its c.d. curve, which exhibited a positive Cotton effect, with that of (R)-acetoin.¹¹ Evidently compound 4 is not a substrate for the second enzymic transformation mediated by DH-2. Biotransformation of the non symmetrical (±)-cis, trans 3-methylcyclohexane-1,2-diol 5 gave recovered starting diol (+)-1(S), 2(R), 3(S)-5 (32%, 40% e.e.). The absolute configuration of this dextrorotatory diol was deduced using

established data.¹² In addition, two *trans* diols 1(S), 2(S), 3(R)-6 (81% e.e.) and 7^{13} (88% e.e.) (17% combined yield; ratio 6:7; 1:1.5) were isolated (Scheme 2). The racemic diols 6 and 7 (61% yield, ratio 6:7; 1:4) were made as standards by performic acid oxidation of 3-methylcyclohexene followed by hydrolysis of the formate esters. The absolute configuration of the 1(S), 2(S), 3(R)-diol 6 was determined by Mitsunobu inversion of the C-1 hydroxyl group of the optically active recovered (+)-diol 5 to give *ent* 6. While the absolute configuration of the diol 7 remains undetermined we surmise that the major enantiomer present in the mixture is the 1(S), 2(S), 3(S)-diol (see Scheme 2).





Thus we believe the process for 5 is enantioselective, the 1(R), 2(S), 3(R) enantiomer being processed more rapidly. However, each enantiomer gives a different diastereomeric diol product. Hence the (R)-selective DH-1 and (S)-selective DH-2 can accept both (R) and (S) orientations of the methyl group. We are currently extending the range of substrates which will be accepted by this microorganism to give new chiral diols/ α hydroxyketones for use as novel chiral auxiliaries.



Scheme 2

Acknowledgement: We thank Dr. A. F. Drake for recording the c.d. spectrum of (+)-4 and Chiros Ltd for funding this work.

References and Notes

- 1. Whitesell, J. K. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 1581.
- 2. The optimised sequential kinetic resolution of the diol 1 by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* lipase catalysed hydrolysis of the corresponding diacetate has recently been documented and provides either enantiomer in optically pure form. Caron, G.; Kazlauskas, R. J. J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 725.
- 3. Kato, K.; Suemune, H.; Sakai, K. Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 247.
- 4. Fang, C.; Suemune, H.; Sakai, K. Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, 31, 4751.
- 5. Ganet, J. P.; Feround, D.; Juge, S.; Montes, J. R. Tetrahedron Lett., 1986, 27, 4573.
- 6. Hayward, R. C.; Overton, C. H.; Whitham, G. H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.1, 1976, 2413.
- 7. Hasegawa, J.; Oguru, M.; Tsuda, S.; Maemoto, S.; Kutsuki, H.; Ohashi, T. Agric. Biol. Chem., 1990, 54, 1819.
- 8. The enantiomeric excess of the diols 1, 6 and 7 was determined by conversion to the corresponding di-1,2-O-trifluoroacetates and comparison to their racemic counterparts by gas chromatography (G. C.) over a chiral stationary phase (Lipodex D).
- 9. Diols 3 and 5 were prepared from the corresponding alkenes (OsO4, acetone/water, NMO).
- 10. The e.e. of 4 was determined by G. C. over a chiral stationary phase (Lipodex D) by comparison with (±)-4. Baskaran, S.; Das, J.; Chandrasekaran, S. J. Org. Chem., 1989, 54, 5182.
- 11. Drake, A. F.; Siligardi, G.; Crout, D. H. G.; Rathbone, D. L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1987, 1834.
- 12. For the absolute configuration of 5 see: Ziffer, H.; Gibson, D. T. Tetrahedron Lett., 1975, 2137.
- 13. Ziffer, H.; Seeman, J. I. J. Org. Chem., 1974, 39, 3698.

(Received in UK 7 September 1993; revised 5 November 1993; accepted 12 November 1993)